

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/821,987	04/12/2004		Bu Qin Ruan	T1000-0001-P001	1568
7590 05/03/2006			EXAMINER		
Bergman Kuta	LLP		LANGDON, EVAN H		
Michael Bergman				ART UNIT	DA BCD NUMBER
P.O. Box 400167				ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER
Cambridge, MA 02144				3654	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/821,987	RUAN, BU QIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Evan H. Langdon	3654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilled to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/2/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 5, "within this" should be deleted.

Claim 1, line 9, "moreover" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. In addition, the italics in claim 3 is not in proper format.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "this is a type" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "this is a type"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

In regards to claim 1, lines 3 and 8, the term "cup jointed" is indefinted. It is unclear what kind of joint connection this is?

In regards to claim 1, line 3 and claims 2-4 line 1, the term "special characteristics" renders the claims indefinite.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutzki (US 4,542,883).

Rutzki discloses a hand lever for a binding machine that includes a handle (69) and side plate (47), one end of the side plate (47) is connected with the handle (2), and the other end is on the reel (35) of the binding machine, the side plate (47) is composed from connecting piece 1 (47a) and connecting piece 2 (47b), the end section of connecting piece 1 (47a) is connected with the handle (69), the end section of connecting piece 2 (47b) is connected to reel (5) of the binding machine, and the other end sections of connecting piece 1 (47a) and connecting piece 2 (47b) are both connected on a pin roll (55), bevel washers acting as a compression spring (86)

are installed in the area of the pin roll (55) and the two ends of the bevel washers acting as a compression spring (86) are connected on connecting piece 1 (47a) and connecting piece 2 (47b), and a block edge (92) is installed on connecting piece 1 (47a) or connecting piece 2 (47b) to prevent the bending that results from connecting piece 1 (11) and connecting piece 2 (12) sustaining elastic force from the bevel washers acting as a compression spring (86).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bevel washers of Rutzki to include a compression as well known in the art to provide a stronger resistive force.

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutzki in view if Hess (US 6,769,155).

In regards to claim 3-4, Hess et al. teaches a joint connection (Fig. 4) having a spring (5, 6) around the joint 4 and either end of the spring connected to cross bars 12.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the joint connection the handle of Rutzki to include a spring around the joint and either end of the spring connected to cross bars as suggested by Hess, to provide a more consistent resistance force.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan H. Langdon whose telephone number is (571)272-6948. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ehl

JOHN Q. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER